

Connect Collaborate Influence

## **Transport Energy Infrastructure Roadmap to 2050**

## HYDROGEN ROADMAP

Prepared for the LowCVP by Element Energy Ltd Celine Cluzel & Alastair Hope–Morley

## elementenergy

JUNE 2015



## **Transport Energy Infrastructure Roadmap to 2050**

## HYDROGEN ROADMAP

## JUNE 2015

#### LowCVP Project Manager

Jonathan Murray, Policy and Operations Director

<u>Contractor</u> Prepared by Element Energy Ltd

#### **Authors**

Celine Cluzel Alastair Hope-Morley

#### **Reviewers**

Alex Stewart Mike Dolman Ben Madden

#### **Project Steering Group**

Autogas Limited BEAMA BOC Limited Calor Gas Ltd EDF Energy Electricity Networks Association National Grid Office for Low Emission Vehicles Renewable Energy Association Transport for London Transport Scotland UK Petroleum Association

#### **Disclaimer**

While the authors consider that the data and opinions contained in this report are sound, all parties must rely upon their own skill and judgement when using it. The authors do not make any representation or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of the report.

## Acknowledgements

The LowCVP, established in 2003, is a **public-private partnership** that exists to **accelerate a sustainable shift to lower carbon vehicles and fuels** and create opportunities for UK business.

The LowCVP aims to:

- Develop initiatives to promote the sale and supply of low carbon vehicles and fuels
- Provide input and advice on Government policy
- Provide a forum for stakeholders to share knowledge and information
- Ensure that UK motor, fuel and related businesses are best placed to capitalise on the opportunities in the low carbon markets of the future
- Contribute to the achievement of UK Government targets for road transport carbon reduction

ing Committee	Workshop attendees	GTC Intelligent Energy Nissan
d	Aberdeen City Council	Openenergi
	Air Products	Riversimple
	BRC	Scania
Ltd	BYD	SGN
	Calor gas	SMMT
Networks Association	CNG Fuels	TfL
rid	CNG Services	Thriev
Low Emission Vehicles	Dearman Engine Company Ltd	Tower Transit
Energy Association	Downstream Fuel Association	UKLPG
for London	Drivelectric Ltd.	UKPN
Scotland	ENN Group Europe	ULEMCo
eum Association	Gas Bus Alliance	UPS
	Gasrec	Wales & West Utilities



## Introduction and context

- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

# Background - a 'Transport Infrastructure roadmap' is needed to complement existing vehicle and fuel roadmaps

- In the context of the expected transition to lower carbon powertrains and fuels, the Auto Council vehicle roadmaps have proven to be a useful tool to focus research, funding and policy, bringing into one place the industry's views on future technology options, deployment steps and corresponding policy drivers.
- To complement these powertrain technologies roadmaps, the LowCVP commissioned a Road Transport Fuels Roadmap in 2013-14, which also proved successful in bringing clarity to the fuel options available and mapping the enabling milestones.
- This Infrastructure roadmap is the 'missing piece' that will support new powertrains and new fuels. This roadmap is all the more necessary as the needs and barriers for deployment of electric, hydrogen and gas refuelling stations differ significantly and refuelling/recharging infrastructure is a key enabler for low emission vehicles.
- The objectives of the Infrastructure Roadmap are to:
  - Assess the infrastructure needs and barriers for deployment of electric, hydrogen and gas refuelling stations to 2050, including impact on upstream distribution, as well as to consider 'conventional' liquid fuels
  - Make recommendations for delivery of infrastructure deployment, both at national and local government level.

### **Vehicle roadmaps**



Source: Auto Council and LowCVP

### **Transport fuel roadmaps**



Source: Auto Council and Element Energy for the LowCVP

5

# The Infrastructure Roadmap covers private and public infrastructure, for all main road vehicles and both current and future fuels

## Fuels / energy vectors considered

- Zero tailpipe emission fuels: electricity and hydrogen
- 'Conventional' liquid fuels: gasoline (E5 to E20, in line with the Transport Fuels Roadmap), diesel, LPG/bio-propane
- Methane: Compressed Natural Gas (CNG), Liquefied NG (LNG) and biomethane
- Niche/future fuels: methanol, liquid air and a high bioethanol blend (E85)

### **Refuelling infrastructure types**

- Depot based refuelling for fleet operators and return to base operators
- Home recharging for private and (some) commercial vehicles
- Public forecourt refuelling/recharging

### Drivers for change in the transport energy system

- The UK's legally binding target to reduce total GHG emissions by at least 80% (relative to 1990 levels) by 2050, and transport contributes to c. 25% of UK total GHG emissions;
- EU level regulations (gCO<sub>2</sub>/km, Air Quality targets and EURO spec), Directives (Renewable Energy, Fuel Quality, Clean Power for Transport) and Transport White Paper



# The development of the Infrastructure Roadmap benefitted from input from a wide range of stakeholders, many consulted through workshops



Source: Element Energy vkt: vehicle km travelled

## elementenergy

7

# Four separate reports have been developed – this report is dedicated to the case of hydrogen as a transport fuel

Four separate reports were produced to capture the differences between the energy vectors / fuels under consideration



## Structure of the report

## Background and status quo

- Summary of current production and distribution system, and energy vector usage
- Current supply pathways
- Current dispensing technologies, geographical spread and key stakeholders

### Future infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment

- Future hydrogen transport demand and production pathways; the role of electrolysers
- Quantification of refuelling station needs, by location and/or vehicle segment based on projected demand, derived from validated uptake scenarios
- Barriers to deployment of infrastructure barriers to deployment of corresponding powertrains are not discussed— uptake of new powertrains/fuels is the starting assumption

## Summary roadmap and recommendations

- Roadmap schematic summarising the above findings
- Recommendations for delivery (national, local, RD&D needs, funding shortfall)



- Introduction and context
- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

# Until now, the UK hydrogen industry has been overwhelmingly geared towards meeting demand in refinery and industrial processes



Sources: All figures refer to the UK and are from DUKES (2014), DECC (2014), Roads2Hycom Deliverable 2.1 and 2.1a (2007) **elementenergy** Note: Some hydrogen is also imported to the UK

hydroger

## Several manufacturers plan to commercialise hydrogen vehicles in the coming years, with associated refuelling infrastructure requirements

## **Major vehicle OEMs**

A range of OEMs have announced plans to bring FCEVs to market in the 2015-2018 period, aiming to have 1,000's deployed by 2020:









Hyundai ix35 FCEV - launched 2014

Toyota Mirai launched 2014/5

- Honda FCV launch 2016
- Daimler next gen. FC – launch 2017
- These vehicles will require extensive refuelling infrastructure in their target markets, to offer an attractive consumer proposition
- They will be launched in markets where the best conditions exist in terms of customer demand and incentives, regulatory push and availability of infrastructure

## Implications for refuelling infrastructure

- Hydrogen-powered vehicles will start to be deployed in the 2015-2020 period, with an expected ramp-up beyond 2020
- Depending on the deployment rate of passenger cars, other vehicle types may play an important role in increasing the utilisation of the early refuelling station network
- Consideration should be given to making refuelling stations compatible with a wide range of vehicles
- This document summarises the likely infrastructure needs for hydrogen-fuelled vehicles in the 2015-2050 period

## Small manufacturers

Several non-OEM manufacturers are developing hydrogen (fuel cell or ICE)-based mobility concepts across a range of segments, many already available:













extended truck -





Van Hool FC bus -

launched 2013

Riversimple car launch c. 2018

**ULEMCo H<sub>2</sub>-ICE Van** - launched 2012

**HyPulsion FC forklift** - soft launch 2014

Expected to always require stand-alone refuelling facilities

- Whilst some of these vehicles will rely on stand-alone depot-based refuelling infrastructure, many will also rely on the availability of public refuelling networks
- The non-OEM vehicles often have different refuelling requirements versus OEM cars (e.g. different refuelling pressures, refuelling frequency, tank size, etc.)
- There is therefore a need to ensure H<sub>2</sub> infrastructure is compatible with all vehicle types from OEMs and other suppliers

# Hydrogen refuelling stations (HRS) can be supplied by delivered or on-site produced hydrogen, with two main dispensing pressures

# hydrosen

## A) H<sub>2</sub> produced off-site and delivered to HRS



- Hydrogen is produced at a centralised, large scale plant via a series of available industrial pathways.<sup>1</sup>
- The hydrogen fuel must then be transported to a retail site via high pressure tube trailer, liquid H<sub>2</sub> trailer, manifold cylinder pack (MCP) or pipeline
- E.g. Air Products has used both liquid and 500 bar tube trailers to supply TfL's Lea Interchange bus depot

## B) H<sub>2</sub> produced on-site at the HRS



- Hydrogen is generated on-site via a co-located H<sub>2</sub> production unit, using water electrolysis, or small scale steam methane reforming
- This approach eliminates all fuel distribution costs but increases the HRS capital cost
- E.g. BOC generates H<sub>2</sub> at Aberdeen City Council's Kittybrewster HRS via an on-site electrolyser
   BOC BOC BOC ABERDEEN

Hydrook

## Dispensing pressure levels available

- Two pressure levels available: 350 bar and 700 bar:
  - Most OEM vehicles have 700 bar H<sub>2</sub> tanks but are compatible with both 350 and 700 bar dispensers, with the higher pressure required for a full tank fill (350 bar offers a c. 60% fill). OEM consensus favours 700 bar refuelling to maximise range however certain key players consider the higher pressure to not be essential for market development
  - Currently most other vehicles, e.g. H<sub>2</sub> buses, forklifts and some vans use 350 bar technology, to achieve lower refuelling station and tank costs - higher pressures may be required in future when space constrained vehicles are considered (double decker buses)

<sup>1</sup>Steam methane reforming (using methane or biogas feedstock), methanol reforming, autothermal reforming, chloralkali byproduct, gasification (using waste, coal or biomass feedstock), centralised water electrolysis (using alkaline or PEM technology)



## Today's early public HRS are generally based on containerised solutions; future HRS will be more fully integrated with existing forecourts

# hydrosen

## **Current HRS layouts**



- UK HRS are currently stand-alone or 'hosted' sites i.e. not fully integrated with conventional forecourts
- Dispenser is accessible to vehicle users, while hydrogen storage, compressors etc. are secured from public access
- Some sites use 'trailer swapping', where a hydrogen trailer acts as an on-site hydrogen store rather than offloading to other storage tanks

## **Characteristics of today's HRS**

- Generally based on containerised solutions typically standard ISO shipping containers
- They are often stand-alone stations on dedicated land
- HRS equipment is often in ISO (20 ft) shipping containers. Overall footprint of ~150m<sup>2</sup> depending on manoeuvring space etc.
- A local H<sub>2</sub> store in the form of compressed gas is generally present
- Refuelling nozzle is industry standard, safe, reliable and user friendly
- Refuelling takes a similar time to conventional fuel (3–4 minutes)

## Future HRS will be integrated with existing forecourts

- It is envisaged that future HRS (e.g. beyond 2020) will increasingly be integrated within conventional existing petrol stations. Furthermore, the coexistence of EV and FCEV infrastructure should be considered
- This is likely to be the longterm solution once FCEVs reach commercialisation but will require well designed and fully integrated H<sub>2</sub> storage, distribution and safety systems, as well as developments in national regulations



 A number of fuel retailers have already trialled these solutions, and integrated stations are already present in Germany and California

# HRS economics are strongly linked to station size and loading levels, which can be optimised by targeting multiple vehicle types

# hvdrosen

## Three typical sizes defined for HRS

- It is useful to define HRS into three main size ranges, based on daily dispensing capacity:
- A number of very small options (e.g. <50kg/day) may also come to market



Average commercial liquid fuel forecourt dispenses 11,400 Litres/day, equivalent to c.300-400 cars/day

## The size of HRS deployed will evolve as demand grows

- Early HRS are likely to be in the 'small' range
- As vehicle numbers and demand grow, larger stations with superior economics will increasingly be deployed



## HRS economics are heavily influenced by size and demand from various vehicle types

- HRS capex does not vary linearly with dispensing capacity, as such costs per kg are substantially higher for small stations with the same % loading
- Station loading is critical in determining economics:
  - 'Small' stations require very high loading/ utilisation to break even, even with financial support e.g. capital funding
  - Larger stations can break even at much lower loading levels
- Larger vehicles use significantly more hydrogen per day than passenger cars, and can make a useful 'base load' contribution to the hydrogen demand at a nearby station
- As such there is a strong driver to ensure that HRS can meet technical requirements of as diverse a range of vehicles as possible – this will ensure higher load factors (and favourable economics), as well as helping to de-risk any over-reliance on a single vehicle type.
- However, this must take into account practical/ operational constraints that may prevent cars and large vehicles (e.g. buses) from sharing HRS

# There are five operational HRS in the UK with a combined capacity of c. 1 tonne- $H_2$ /day, with seven more planned by the end 2015



<sup>†</sup>: Note this does not include small-scale HRS in Birmingham, Coventry, Glamorgan, Isle of Lewis, Loughborough, Nottingham, University of South Wales. It does not include HRS funded under the 2015 OLEV scheme (2 new HRS and 2 mobile refuellers)

# Many H<sub>2</sub> production pathways exist with varying costs and CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates – most options are available to the UK today or in the future

## Hydrocarbon-based production

- The most common form of industrial H<sub>2</sub> production today
- Involves reforming methane or other hydrocarbons to produce syngas and subsequently using the water-gas-shift reaction to extract hydrogen
- Highly mature technology allowing low-cost, large-scale production
- Pathway can potentially be decarbonised with carbon capture and storage

## Large production capacity in the UK

## Water electrolysis

- Mature technology but further developments needed for widespread transport use
- Allows on-site production at HRS
- Requires access to low cost electricity to achieve affordable H<sub>2</sub> costs
- Using renewable electricity produces
   'green' hydrogen
- Potential for use in refinery processes if sufficiently low cost

## Several suppliers based in the UK

## **Biogas, CCS & novel routes**

- H<sub>2</sub> can be produced from various alternative sources, including waste gasification, from anaerobic digestion, or as an industrial or CCS by-product
- Some technologies would produce large quantities of cheap, 'green' H<sub>2</sub> if developed, e.g. CCS
- Industry will only consider developing novel pathways when a strong, reliable energy sector demand is established

### Techs. at various development stages



#### Source of graph: A portfolio of power-trains for Europe: a fact-based analysis, McKinsey & Co, 2011

CCS = carbon capture and storage, SMR = steam methane reforming 1 Assumes access to green electricity for electrolysers

# Likely production mix will be of methane-based and electrolytic 'green' $H_2$ in the medium-term, with more 'green' sources in the longer term



### 'Green' hydrogen transition trajectory



From 2015 to 2030, a mix of methane-based and 'green' water electrolysis hydrogen production will dominate:

- UK H<sub>2</sub>Mobility presents a plan to achieve a low carbon trajectory for the fuel (implying use of renewable electricity for electrolysers), whilst ensuring the fuel is affordable (leading to the use of fossil hydrocarbons)
- The strategy matches the CO<sub>2</sub> performance of plug-in hybrids as the grid decarbonises, whilst identifying a least cost production mix– leading to a roughly equal mix of methane and electrolysis options

Similar Well to Wheel performance expected beyond 2030

### Various sources are likely to be relevant to H<sub>2</sub> production going forward



<sup>1</sup>: UK H<sub>2</sub>Mobility Phase 1, public report

\*: New 'green' production could include waste gasification, CCS, etc. The development pathway for these technologies will strongly influence the 2050 production mix

## In 2014, the European Commission issued a directive to help harmonise technical specifications for hydrogen refuelling equipment





The <b>Clean Power for Transport</b> programme, initiated in 2013, aims to facilitate the
development of a single market for alternative fuels for transport in Europe

- The resulting 2014/94/EU directive on 'the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure' aims to:
  - 1) Harmonise technical specifications for recharging and refuelling stations
  - 2) Develop clear, transparent fuel price comparison methodologies
  - 3) Ensure Member States develop national policy frameworks to support the deployment of alternative fuel technologies and infrastructure
  - Clarity on use of 700/350 bar or dual-pressure refuelling remains not fully addressed by the Directive, as conformity is possible at 700 bar or 350 bar pressures

## From Nov 2017, all public HRS in the EU must be compliant with the technical specification



## Contents



- Introduction and context
- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Future hydrogen transport demand scenarios
  - Hydrogen production and the role of electrolysers
  - Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Vehicle-specific infrastructure deployments
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

# FCEV uptake has been projected to quantify the hydrogen demand in transport and corresponding requirements for HRS



In consultation with the LowCVP Fuels Working Group, we derived <u>uptake scenarios for new powertrains/fuels</u>, they are <u>policy led</u>, typically based on CCC targets. Scenarios are used to forecast infrastructure required to match transport policy ambition and estimate the corresponding upfront costs of this infrastructure

## Uptake of FCEVs is mainly in the light vehicle segments

- Two scenarios for cars & vans,
  - 'CCC targets': FCEVs reach 10% market share by 2030 and Zero Emission vehicles reach 100% of market share before 2050
  - 'Moderate ambition': the 2030 CCC targets are not met, FCEV uptake represents 5% of new sales; by 2050 FCEVs represent 15% of new sales but the wider category of EVs represent 100% of sales
- An increase of sales of fuel cell HGVs (mostly under 7t GVW) to <1% in 2020, 1% in 2030 and 20% in 2050</li>
- An increase of sales of fuel cell buses 1-2% in 2020, 5% in 2030 and 50% in 2050
- Vehicle stock numbers were calculated using Element Energy's UK vehicle fleet model. H<sub>2</sub> consumption was based on manufacturer data and observations from UK and EU vehicle trials

#### Moderate ambition **CCC** targets 50% 15% 5% 10% <1% <1% 2015 2020 2030 2050 **Share of FCEV light vehicles** relative to other ultra-low<sub>00%</sub> 10% emission powertrains 50% 75% BEV 50% 15%PH/RE EV FCEV 2050 2050

## Market share of FCEV cars and vans (new sales)

## Contents



- Introduction and context
- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Future hydrogen transport demand scenarios
  - Hydrogen production and the role of electrolysers
  - Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Vehicle-specific infrastructure deployments
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

# Growth in hydrogen demand to 2050 from transport will require significant capacity, particularly in low carbon production routes



## Hydrogen demand today and in 2050

- Under the high uptake case hydrogen demand for transport will exceed existing production capacity in the 2030s
  - Existing production capacity stands at c. 690 kt/year of which 650 kt/year is dedicated to use by heavy industry, leaving 41 kt/year that is distributed by tube trailer
  - Total demand from transport by 2050 equates to c. 2,300 kt/year under the high uptake scenario
- This additional demand presents a significant challenge in terms of both production and distribution capacity:
  - A quadrupling of existing production capacity would be required: additional production is equivalent to c. 23
     large SMR plants<sup>1</sup>, or c. 15 GW of grid-connected electrolysers<sup>2</sup>
  - If this hydrogen was all produced centrally, this would likely require around 2,000 tube trailers, based on existing technology (1.1 t per trailer, 500 bar) and two trips per day, compared to <100 trailers today.</li>
  - Alternatively, higher demand could attract large gas companies to deploy centralised liquefaction facilities and develop liquid hydrogen logistics networks benefitting from greater vehicle capacity (c.3t per trailer)
  - The case of electrolysis production (which can be centralised or on-site) is detailed on the next slide

Source: UK H<sub>2</sub>Mobility Phase 1 public report, Roads2Hycom Deliverable 2.1 and 2.1a, Element Energy 1: Based on 100ktpa (largest current UK SMR plant) 2: Based on 70% efficiency and 80% load factor

## elementenergy 24

hydrogr

# Once commercially proven, H<sub>2</sub> from water electrolysis (WE) is expected <sup>3</sup> to see significant growth to 2030, driven initially by the transport sector



## **Optimised economics are key**

- 1) Access to low-cost electricity
- 2 Ability to access payments from energy network operators from provision of grid services

### Improvements in performance and capex also required

- Improvements in capex, system size, efficiency and lifetime will be required to improve overall economics
- These incremental changes will be key to the widespread rollout of WE

## Growth in electrolysis is expected assuming the right conditions are met

- It is expected that growth in electrolyser numbers will be led by a number of applications:
  - The commercialisation of FCEVs in the 2015-2025 period, leading to a demand for high-purity, low carbon H<sub>2</sub>
  - Other markets for electrolysis may open in the power to gas and chemicals sectors in parallel to transport



### Expected development of electrolyser applications through time

- On-site electrolysis (as opposed to centralised production) is likely to dominate in short term as there is a better match with the scale of demand at refuelling stations. Groups of on-site electrolysers can provide grid services through pooling/aggregation
- In the medium term, choice of on-site versus centralised production will depend on economies of scale, access to low electricity
  prices versus distribution costs and possible colocation with other demands (e.g. power to gas, pre-combustion carbon capture and
  storage)
- Green H<sub>2</sub> could also displace conventional H<sub>2</sub> in refinery processes if available at sufficiently low cost

# The main component of the cost of hydrogen production from electrolysis is the variable electricity input costs



## New applications for electrolytic H<sub>2</sub> are emerging

- Only 4% of existing global H<sub>2</sub> production (mainly for industrial use) is based on WE,<sup>1</sup> due to the higher costs of WE in most markets compared to alternatives e.g. SMR, or industrial by-products
- More recently, increasing demand for lower carbon content and higher purity H<sub>2</sub> for transport applications is leading to increased demand for H<sub>2</sub> from WE (which produces very pure H<sub>2</sub>, can be deployed on-site, and can be powered by renewable electricity)
- This new demand ties in well with the emergence of WE as a solution for energy storage and grid balancing applications

## The cost of hydrogen production is made up of a number of components, dominated by variable costs





Cost structure is dominated by variable costs<sup>2</sup>. Low electricity prices are required to be competitive with petrol/diesel on a per km basis, which is approximately £7/kg equivalent including the HRS

### Variable operating costs, i.e. electricity input costs make up the largest portion of the levelised cost of H<sub>2</sub> production

1 MW alkaline WE system 2015 costs from FCH JU electrolyser study (2014): capex = £760/kW, opex = £27/kW (excludes stack replacement), electricity price range = £0.05-0.11/kWh (lower-bound corresponds to electrolyser providing e.g. grid balancing services, etc. or private wire connection to a renewables generator, upper-bound corresponds to using retail electricity prices), interest rate = 7%, lifetime = 15 years, WE consumption = 55 kWh/kgH<sub>2</sub>, utilisation = 80%, excludes redundancy costs

Source: Element Energy analysis <sup>1</sup>IEA (2007), <sup>2</sup>This assumed a well-utilised electrolyser. As utilisation decreases, capex and fixed operating costs become a larger proportion of the overall cost of H<sub>2</sub> produced

# <sup>2</sup> The economics of H<sub>2</sub> from electrolysis are heavily dependent on the <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub> ability to access affordable electricity and to provide services to the grid

### **Options for minimising dominant variable costs**

In the UK, two main ways to lower variable costs can be envisaged:

- Reducing the cost of electricity purchased, through e.g. avoiding distribution network charges – this can be achieved through connecting the electrolyser directly to a renewable generator, without going via the distribution/transmission grid
- Accessing payments from the grid operator to help balance the grid by storing energy at times of high production/low demand, or providing frequency response services – the H<sub>2</sub> produced from energy storage can be used for transport, reelectrified via a fuel cell, or injected into the gas grid

## Impact of off-grid connection for electrolyser



#### 2030 H<sub>2</sub> cost at the nozzle for transport applications in the UK

### **Grid services impact**

2030 H<sub>2</sub> production cost in Germany under full- and partload operating strategies, offering balancing services



## Resulting H<sub>2</sub> economics

- Savings from using a private wire connection can lead to attractive economics vs. SMR in 2030
- Additional savings (e.g. c €1/kg) can be made from offering grid balancing services – provided the right balancing payments regime is in place

## Contents



- Introduction and context
- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Future hydrogen transport demand scenarios
  - Hydrogen production and the role of electrolysers
  - Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Vehicle-specific infrastructure deployments
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

# Early publicly accessible HRS are likely to require public funding, beyond 2020 HRS will offer increasingly attractive investment propositions

### FCEV passenger car rollout will occur slowly pre-2020

- FCEV costs are likely to be higher than diesel and plug-in vehicles until 2<sup>nd</sup> gen. in ~2020 or beyond
- FCEV car sales of 100s-1000s expected before 2020
- Based on current uptake, pre-2020 deployments are likely to be concentrated in major urban centres
- HRS deployments likely to be 10s of relatively small stations, with low utilisation in early years
- Vans/captive fleet vehicles could help provide a base load demand for HRS. Locations of these vehicles will be driven by local customer demand

## A Pre-2020 HRS are likely to require public funding

- HRS investments will be required early in order to ensure early FCEVs have access to hydrogen, despite challenging economics of small, under-utilised HRS
- In most cases (except e.g. heavily-loaded captive fleet HRS) European, national or regional government intervention will be required to bridge the funding gap
- A range of funding sources is available next slide

## **B** Post-2020, HRS will offer improving economics

- As FCEV numbers ramp up post-2020, larger, more profitable HRS can be built
- With more vehicles providing higher load factors, these HRS will offer more favourable economics
- At this point, a commercially sustainable rollout is possible, with increasing interest from existing petrol station operators or new entrants.
- Funding no longer needed on a per station basis





## A range of public funding sources will support hydrogen refuelling infrastructure deployments in the pre-2020 period



Funding body	Description	Funding rate	Timescales
FCH JU (FCH 2 JU)	Public-private partnership between EC and industry, to advance the commercialisation of hydrogen and fuel cells	Up to 70% of project value under Horizon 2020	Annual calls for proposals 2014-20
EU Structural Funds	EU funds for encouraging development across a range of thematic objectives (total ~€10.7bn 2014-2020 for the UK)	Up to 60% of project value	Annual calls for proposals 2014-20
EU TEN-T/CEF Funding	Funding to improve key transport corridors in Europe, across a range of modes (total €26.3bn 2014-2020 across Europe)	Varies up to 50% depending on type of project	Annual work programmes 2014-2020
UK Government Office for Low Emission Vehicles	UK Government Ultra-Low Emissions Strategy (£500m) includes funding to support H <sub>2</sub> infrastructure in the UK (announced October 2014)	Initial funding: £11m inc. new HRS (£7m), upgrades (£2m) and vehicles (£2m)	<ul> <li>First £5.5m call: closed 6<sup>th</sup> March 2015</li> <li>Future calls uncertain</li> </ul>
Innovate UK Innovate UK	UK Government-run body to help fund innovation in a range of technology areas	Up to 50% of project value (60% for SMEs)	Regular calls for proposals

## Some uncertainty remains around the end of public support beyond 2020

- Multiple funding sources are available to support new refuelling infrastructure in the early years of FCEV commercialisation, up to 2020, however beyond 2020 it is unclear which sources of funding will be renewed
- There may be a need to review public funding around 2018, to evaluate the need for funding/policies/other support mechanisms beyond 2020 and ensure that adequate support is in place for hydrogen transport commercialisation to continue beyond 2020

## The hydrogen sector is working to address several barriers to allow the transition from demonstration activities to a commercial rollout



Barrier	Description	Example solution
Cost and reliability of HRS	Today's HRS are produced in low volumes, with bespoke, low volume components. Reliability not yet equal to petrol forecourts	<ul> <li>Introduction of series production and standardised designs</li> <li>Reduced cost and standardisation of compression/pre-cooling components</li> <li>Mobile back-up stations to give network redundancy</li> </ul>
Customer experience	Consistent customer experience e.g. 'look and feel' of stations, ease of payment, pricing etc. not yet established	<ul> <li>Industry-agreed guidance for customer experience</li> <li>Apps to help navigate sparse early network</li> </ul>
Regulatory and approvals process	Regulatory regimes often differ between sites, with no standardised approvals process yet defined	<ul> <li>Introduction of EU-wide regulations for safe design of HRS</li> <li>Standardised and streamlined approvals processes</li> </ul>
Safety restrictions	Static hydrogen storage regulations restrict sizing of refuelling stations	<ul> <li>Amend COMAH (and other) regulations to reflect the needs of the hydrogen transport sector</li> </ul>
Station siting	Challenging to find suitable sites in space- constrained urban areas	<ul><li>Development work to reduce station footprints</li><li>Involvement of fuel retailers/site hosts required</li></ul>
H <sub>2</sub> quality assurance and metering	Quality assurance procedures to meet ISO- defined purity limits not yet finalised Accurate H <sub>2</sub> fuel metering technology is immature	<ul> <li>Development of standardised, low-cost, in-line fuel quality measurement techniques</li> <li>Simplified H<sub>2</sub> purity regulations from OEMs</li> <li>Volume-produced H<sub>2</sub> metering technology</li> </ul>

## Contents



- Introduction and context
- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Future hydrogen transport demand scenarios
  - Hydrogen production and the role of electrolysers
  - Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
  - Vehicle-specific infrastructure deployments
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

# Passenger cars - full national coverage for hydrogen refuelling for cars is expected by 2030, to support increasing FCEV numbers



l <sub>2</sub> demand (k	tpa): 2		18-34		65-130		340-1300
Thousand FCEVs	Moderat	e scenario	CCC target scenar	rio	680 1,400		16,800 4,300
	2020	)	2025		2030		2050
2015-	2020	2	020-2025		2025-2030		2030-2050
65 by 202 further de Likely mo (< 100kg HRS supp public fur Dominate alone HRS	in 2015 amp-up to 0 before eployments stly 'small' / day) – orted by nding ed by stand-	<ul> <li>with</li> <li>&gt;30</li> <li>by 2</li> <li>Shif</li> <li>prot</li> <li>Shif</li> <li>stat</li> <li>entre</li> </ul>	np-up post-2020 n 2nd gen. FCEVs 0 HRS deployed 2025 t to larger, fitable stations t to forecourt ions and market ry by major ilers	•	Further mass-market vehicle growth Rapid rollout of large, forecourt- integrated HRS Full national coverage achieved by 2030, with >1,000 HRS deployed Intervention to ensure sufficient green H <sub>2</sub> in production mix	•	Continued growth in line with FCEV sales, which are expected to make up 20-50% of the vehicle parc by 2050 Hydrogen a 'standard' offer at majority of refuelling stations during this phase

# The initial focus for the passenger car-led HRS rollout is likely to be dense $\frac{3}{4}$ urban centres, with rapid expansion beyond 2020 to the rest of UK

### First 65 HRS for passenger cars deployed by 2020

- Initially, OEM vehicles will not be available in all showrooms. Instead, vehicles will only be made available from a few strategically chosen areas predominantly in South East England
- Geographic strategy for first stations not yet finalised, though focus on South East is expected given current HRS deployment trends and high costs of supporting small numbers of vehicles across national dealer network
- Some coverage of major roads and secondary urban clusters as HRS numbers grow 'primitive' national driving by 2020

### > 300 HRS across the UK by 2025

- All major roads and major cities covered by 2025
- Coverage to enable 'close-to-home' refuelling for 50% of the population, as well as long-distance travel

### > 1,000 HRS across the UK by 2030

 Full UK coverage, defined as 'close-to-home' refuelling for the whole UK population, including less-populated regions



Source: UK H<sub>2</sub>Mobility Phase 1, public report, industry input at workshop in March 2015

# Vans - use of passenger car stations, with a small number of dedicated HRS at depots by 2030



## Growth in hydrogen vans expected beyond 2025 as more vehicles become available and prices drop

#### 2015-2020

Most H<sub>2</sub> vans deployed in relatively small numbers, reliant on fully public infrastructure

#### 2020-2025

Additional H<sub>2</sub> van options likely available, e.g. from OEMs → greater penetration amongst fleet operators

#### 2025-2050

As costs approach diesel parity, rapid growth in adoption of H<sub>2</sub> vans in applications that cannot be met by battery electric vans

### 2015-2020

- Use of public HRS preferable to provide 'base load' H<sub>2</sub> demand
- Some vans currently require 350 bar refuelling – dualpressure HRS may be needed where this is justified by local vehicle demand
- Avoid depot-based refuelling where possible, deploying vehicles in fleets able to use the emerging public infrastructure
- Maximise public availability

### 2020-2025

- Increased deployments of vans, particularly driven by urban air quality restrictions
- Continued use of public refuelling where possible
- Emergence of depot-based refuelling where needed for operational reasons and for large fleets
- Potential shift to 700bar tanks reducing the need for dualpressure stations

### 2025-2030

- Widespread deployment of hydrogen vans
- Strong utilisation of HRS by cars reduces importance of non-depot refuelling for vans (i.e. base load demand is already present)
- Use of depot-based fuelling wherever more convenient for fleet operators

# Buses (+ trucks) - significant numbers of depot-based HRS are expected by 2030, likely focused in major urban centres



#### Total FCEV buses and HGVs in the UK fleet H<sub>2</sub> demand (ktpa): 23 3 10 440 130,000 Number of HGVs and buses 3,000 8,000 Low 100s 2020 2030 2050 2025

## 2015-2020

- Up to c. 5 depotbased 350 bar HRS with up to c. 1tpd
- Unlikely to be publicly accessible
- Locations driven by local political ambition and air quality targets
- Limited demonstrations of H<sub>2</sub> light trucks

# Further deployments of e.g. 20 buses (1-2

2020-2025

- routes) in leading cities. 1 depot HRS per city (~1tpd)
- Reduced public funding for refuelling infrastructure
- Increasing use of H<sub>2</sub> in light trucks to complement EVs for longer duty cycles

## 2025-2030

- Multiple routes using fuel cell buses in leading cities
- Larger ~2-5tpd HRS in depots
- Novel engineering solutions required for these larger depots
- Growing role for H<sub>2</sub> in larger trucks, likely using depot based refuelling

## 2030-2050

- Hydrogen becomes one of the dominant fuels for buses alongside other ULEV powertrains
- Widespread availability of highcapacity 350 bar HRS developed in depots across the country
- Widespread use of H<sub>2</sub> in trucks

# Forklifts - the forklift HRS market is likely to grow rapidly beyond 2020, provided the technology can compete on economic terms in the UK



### Growth in hydrogen forklifts expected beyond 2025 as more vehicles become available and prices drop

#### 2015-2020

Small number of deployment projects to 2020 to test the cost-effectiveness of fuel cell forklifts in the UK market

#### 2020-2025

If fuel cell forklifts can prove their cost-effectiveness relative to electric/hybrid in the UK market, deployments in larger numbers will occur

### 2025-2050

Continued rollout of hydrogen forklifts to existing customers, until all their major logistic centres have been covered

### 2015-2020

- Trial fleets using 350 bar indoor HRS, capacities up to 50kg/day (c. 25 vehicles per site)
- Likely focused on major logistical operators in strategic locations where battery technology currently in use – up to c. 10 sites
- US deployments suggest focus is on largest sites initially, where economics are most favourable

### 2020-2025

- Indoor refuelling with capacities up to c. 100-200kg/day (up to c. 100 vehicles per site)
- Focused on major logistical operations in strategic locations (e.g. ports, large retailer distribution centres, airports, etc.) – likely starting with expanded fleets at initial trial sites, with 10's of additional deployments beyond that

### 2025-2030

- Likely low 100's of sites suitable in the UK with similar specs (i.e. 100-200kg/day) by 2030
- Beyond 2030, as costs start to compete with incumbent technologies, e.g. gas or diesel, customers in locations with lower operating hours and fewer vehicles – may be indoors or outdoors and cover range of capacities
## Investment needs in hydrogen stations are expected to be up to £700m by 2030, rising significantly to 2050 to serve a large UK vehicle fleet



Production and distribution capacity has not been considered when estimating overall station numbers.

<sup>1</sup>Lower bound based on UK H<sub>2</sub>Mobility Phase 1 report, assuming 1,150 stations by 2030. Upper bound based on HRS cost data from H<sub>2</sub>TINA

Source: H<sub>2</sub>TINA (2015). Costs = HRS costs 80kg/day: £0.8m (2012), £0.4m (2025). 500kg/day: £1.4m (2012), £0.7m (2025). 1000kg/day: £2.4m (2012), £1.3m (2025). No cost reduction for 2030 & 2050.

hydrogen

- Introduction and context
- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

## The H<sub>2</sub> infrastructure roadmap reflects the diverse refuelling needs of different vehicle types and the uncertainty about the speed of the rollout



## Industry and government will need to work closely to secure the deployment of the early public HRS network and hydrogen vehicles



### Securing deployment of the early public HRS network

- A certain number of HRS (e.g. 65 set out by the H<sub>2</sub>Mobility strategy) is likely to be needed to meet the needs of the earliest customers and to continue to attract OEMs to the UK
- Low utilisation means that these early HRS will need public funding to attract private investment
- HRS investors will also require confidence from vehicle suppliers on the timing and ambition of vehicle deployments
- Customer incentives are likely to be needed to encourage early vehicle sales as OEMs transition to lower cost second generation vehicles
- The network will also need to offer a consistent and high quality customer experience, in terms of the station 'look and feel', ease of use, payment methods etc.

#### **Recommendations**

**Central Government:** Provide financial support to early HRS, using funding conditions to ensure high quality user experience and coherent geographic strategy. Provide support to vehicles through existing ULEV incentives

**Local Government:** Help provide 'base load' demand to public HRS (e.g. FCEV procurement for public fleets) and make sites available for refuelling stations where possible

**OEMs:** Provide transparency on numbers and locations of vehicle deployments (as far as possible) to maximise confidence of HRS investors

HRS operators/suppliers: Work closely with vehicle suppliers and their customers to ensure that HRS siting and specifications meet their needs

## Ensuring infrastructure is compatible with all vehicle types and publicly accessible will maximise station utilisation

## **2** Maximising utilisation of early stations

- Early network is likely to use 700 bar refuelling, based on requirements of OEM passenger cars
- Other vehicle types (e.g. vans, small trucks) currently use 350 bar tanks which are not compatible with higher pressure dispensers
- The use of dual-pressure stations (700/350bar) allows public HRS to meet refuelling demands of these vehicles, increasing early usage where demand exists
- Fleet vehicle users should also be encouraged to use public HRS rather than depot solutions where feasible to further increase utilisation

#### **Recommendations**

**HRS investors:** Work with vehicle suppliers to identify needs for dual-pressure HRS sites

**Local government:** Encourage fleet stations to be publicly accessible for private customers where feasible (e.g. through planning system)

## **3** Coordination

- As the network grows, coordination of HRS siting is likely to be needed to optimise coverage and customer convenience
- Coordination is also likely to be needed on crosscutting topics e.g. securing deployments of 'green hydrogen' production capacity, metering and billing, progress towards fully forecourt-integrated stations
- If use of standalone HRS continues, HRS operators should work closely to define a consistent approach to siting and 'look and feel' to allow drivers to find and use the infrastructure

#### **Recommendations**

All H<sub>2</sub> stakeholders: Identify an appropriate forum to allow discussion of these coordination activities, and to present an aligned UK strategy in outreach to international OEMs to maximise appetite to bring vehicles to the UK

## Existing regulations should be amended to harmonise the planning approval process, thereby streamlining infrastructure installation



### Siting and planning process

- Lack of guidance on HRS safety requirements can lead to planning delays and inconsistent user experience
- Transition from standalone to forecourtintegrated sites likely towards 2020
- Work to include hydrogen in the Blue Book<sup>1</sup> is underway to represent hydrogen, in particular addressing electrical hazardous zones and safety distances, giving clear guidance for use by developers and petroleum officers in designing and approving HRS on forecourts

### **Recommendations**

Local Authority planning teams and regulatory authorities: Support the approval of integrating hydrogen infrastructure into existing forecourts; produce guidance documents for standalone HRS

## 5 Innovation opportunities

- Reducing the cost of HRS, H<sub>2</sub> production and distribution and vehicles will be required to allow mass-market deployments
- Quality assurance of H<sub>2</sub> (lower cost analysis, continuous monitoring etc.) needs to be further developed and standardised
- Engineering solutions are required for large scale depot refuelling beyond current fleet sizes (e.g. c.100 bus depot requiring c.2 tonnes/day)
- Full integration of water electrolysers into the grid will require further trials of technical and commercial arrangements

### **Recommendations**

Innovation funding bodies: Work with industry to define clear innovation needs that can be delivered through R&D funding and trials

Current UK hydrogen production capacity is Technologies available for H<sub>2</sub> production, their costs insufficient to meet transport demand from the mid 2030s 1 6

New policy may be required in the medium term to ensure that the

future hydrogen production mix delivers CO<sub>2</sub> emissions savings

Therefore new production capacity will need to be introduced concurrently with vehicle demand growth, taking into account GHG emission reduction targets

Hydrogen production pathways

A strategy will be needed on how this capacity will be delivered (based on the expected volumes of hydrogen vehicles) while ensuring that the overall production mix delivers very low well-to-wheel emissions

#### **Recommendations**

6

**Central Government:** Consider policy mechanisms to ensure sufficient volumes of low carbon hydrogen sources

**R&D bodies:** Investigate low cost green hydrogen production technologies



#### H<sub>2</sub> production cost (EUR/kg H<sub>2</sub>) 2050 targets 2030 targets 1 0 5 10 15 20 25 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (kg CO<sub>2</sub>/kg H<sub>2</sub>)

#### Technology type Distributed water electrolysis 1. 2. Conventional water electrolysis 3. Coal gasification + carbon capture and storage 4. Centralised SMR + carbon capture and storage 5. IGCC + carbon capture and storage 6. Distributed steam methane reforming 7. Conventional steam methane reforming 8. Internal gasification combined cycle Coal gasification 9.

Source: A portfolio of power-trains for Europe: a fact-based analysis, McKinsey & Co, 2011 Targets: technical targets to reduce carbon footprint of hydrogen as a transport fuel

#### elementenergy 44

hydrose

- Introduction and context
- Background and status quo
- Future refuelling infrastructure requirements and barriers to deployment
- Summary roadmap and recommendations
- Appendix

### References

- A portfolio of power-trains for Europe: a fact-based analysis, 2011
- BP Statistical Energy Review, 2014
- Data from industry, including: Shell, Air Products
- DECC, Energy consumption in the UK, 2014
- DECC, 2050 energy pathways analysis, 2013
- DfT, Road lengths in Great Britain, 2013
- DUKES Chapter 3/4/5, 2015
- Element Energy and E4Tech for the FCH JU, Development of Water Electrolysis in the European Union, 2014
- Element Energy for Birmingham City Council, A City Blue Print for Low Carbon Fuel Refuelling Infrastructure, 2015
- Element Energy for DfT, Ultra low emission van study, 2012
- Element Energy, Options and recommendations to meet the RED transport target, 2014
- ETI, An affordable transition to sustainable and secure energy for light vehicles in the UK, 2013
- European Parliament and Council, Directive 2009/30/EC, 2009
- IEA, Hydrogen Production and Distribution, 2007
- Forecourt Trader, Fuel Market Review, 2014
- JRC, WTT analysis of future automotive fuels and powertrains in the European context, 2014
- Roads2Hycom Deliverable 2.1 and 2.1a, 2007
- UK H2Mobility Phase 1, public report, 2013

## Acronyms

AFV	Alternative Fuel Vehicle	Mt	Million tonnes
CCC	Committee on Climate Change	NG	National Grid
CCS	Carbon Capture and Storage	NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
СНР	Combined Heat and Power	OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer
COMAH	Control of Major Accident Hazard	OLEV	Office for Low Emission Vehicles
DECC	Department of Energy & Climate Change	PM	Particulate Matter
DfT	Department for Transport	R&D	Research and Development
DUKES	Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics	RED	Renewable Energy Directive
EC	European Commission	SMR	Steam Methane Reforming
EE	Element Energy	TEN-T	Trans-European Transport Networks
ETI	Energy Technologies Institute	TSB	Technology Strategy Board
EU	European Union	TTW	Tank-to-Wheel
FC	Fuel Cell	ULEV	Ultra-Low Emissions Vehicle
FCEV	Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle	WE	Water Electrolysis
FCH JU	Fuel Cell Hydrogen Joint Undertaking	WTT	Well-to-Tank
FLT	Fork Lift Truck	WTW	Well-to-Wheel
H <sub>2</sub>	Hydrogen		
HDV	Heavy Duty vehicle		
HGV	Heavy Goods Vehicle		
HRS	Hydrogen Refuelling Station		
HSE	Health and Safety Executive		
ICE	Internal Combustion Engine		
ktpa	thousands tonnes per annum		
LCN	Low Carbon Network		

## The modelling of the future UK fleet is based on DfT traffic and park size projections





- Future vehicle projections use figures provided by DfT:
  - Cars stock to increase from c. 30 million to 39 million and c. 550 billion vehicle km travelled by 2050

Fuel uptake

- Vans stock to increase from c.3.5million to 7 million by 2050
- HGVs stock to increase from c. 500 thousands today to c. 630 thousand by 2050
- Buses stock and vehicle km travelled to stay broadly constant at around 170 thousand units and 5 billion vehicle km travelled
- Overall fleet and km increase of c. 40% between 2015 and 2050

Buses 께 HGVs 📃 Vans

Source: DfT Road transport forecasts (available online) as well as direct supply of National Travel Model outputs for the case of cars

### elementenergy | 48

Cars

## The powertrain/fuel uptake scenarios underpinning the Infrastructure Roadmap are policy led



### Uptake scenarios focus on alternative fuels

- The scenarios used are not intended to cover all possible outcomes but instead focus on cases with ambitious uptake of alternative fuels
- Scenarios are policy led, typically based on targets set by the Committee on Climate Change (sources shown next); they are illustrative rather than based on detailed of new modelling technology costs and customer decision making behaviour
- Therefore the uptake scenarios represent possible futures where low and ultra low emission powertrains are successfully deployed
- Focus is intended to provide the most interesting inputs for the analysis of the Infrastructure Roadmap – e.g. a 'business as usual' case where petrol and diesel continue to provide over 98% of road transport energy would not require new refuelling/recharging infrastructure
- In accordance with the Fuel Roadmap, blends higher than B7 are not considered for the mainstream fuels and E20 is considered only from the 2030s
- Scenarios have enabled future infrastructure requirements to be quantified and upfront costs capital costs for public infrastructure have been estimated. Cost of setting new fuel production assets, distribution/logistics costs and general infrastructure operating costs have not been considered. Costs of other incentives that might be required to achieve the uptake scenarios (e.g. vehicle grants) haven not been estimated in this study

### **Overview of the powertrain options considered and key sources**



		Cars and vans	Buses	HGVs NRMM	
RELEVANT POWERTRAINS /FUELS	•	ICE: petrol, diesel, LPG, (gas), (H <sub>2</sub> in early years) EVs: Battery EVs, plug-in hybrid EVs, fuel cell (FCEVs)	<ul> <li>ICE: diesel, (bio)methane</li> <li>EVs: BEV, PH/RE, FCEV</li> <li>(Liquid air for cooling/hybrid power)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ICE: diesel, (bio)methane, (methanol)</li> <li>EVs - in lighter segments only</li> <li>ICE: diesel, LPG, (gas), Liquid air for refrigeration units</li> <li>(Batteries and Fuel Cells – in some applications)</li> </ul>	
KEY SOURCES / INDICATORS	•	The Carbon Plan and the Committee on Climate Change's recommendations H <sub>2</sub> Mobility Phase 1 report, 2013 Historic trends for petrol/diesel split	<ul> <li>Current and announced commercial availability, policy drivers</li> <li>Alternative Powertrain for Urban buses, 2012</li> <li>CCC – 4<sup>th</sup> Carbon Budget Review</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Current and announced commercial availability</li> <li>DfT HGV Task Force</li> <li>TSB-DfT Low Carbon Truck Trial</li> <li>CCC – 4th Carbon Budget Review</li> <li>Data on fuel usage of NRMM is sparse</li> <li>More qualitative approach suggested</li> </ul>	

Parentheses indicates the powertrain/fuel option is expected to stay niche in the 2050 horizon

- Cars and light commercial vehicles ('vans') are treated together as they have the same technology options and fall under the same electrification targets in the Carbon Plan.
- Sales of vans running on methane are not considered in the modelling on the basis of the low commercial availability (only 2 models on the market), lack of policy drivers for growth and aforementioned electrification targets. Any gas demand resulting from vans would be small enough to be considered negligible, in comparison to the potential gas demand from trucks.
- Dual fuel vans running on diesel and hydrogen and Range Extender Fuel Cell electric vans (being deployed currently in the UK and in continental Europe) are not modelled explicitly. Instead, their hydrogen demand is accounted for in the 'FCEV' heading. The specific requirements for dual fuel and range-extender H<sub>2</sub> vans are however considered in the Infrastructure Roadmap (e.g. dispensing pressure).

Fuel uptake

# We studied infrastructure requirements set by the Committee on Climate Change targets as well as a case with a slower EV uptake



#### Scenarios

- Two EV uptake scenarios have been used:
  - 'CCC targets': EVs reach 60% market share by 2030 and Zero Emission vehicles reach 100% of market share before 2050
  - 'Moderate ambition': the 2030 CCC targets are not met but EV uptake is nonetheless high (30% new sales); by 2050 EVs represent 100% of sales but are mainly PHEVs or RE-EVs, i.e. still reliant on liquid fuels

## We assumed continuation of the observed petrol /diesel share for cars and modelled an ambitious LPG uptake



Sales of new cars with Internal Combustion Engine vehicles - split between spark-ignition ('petrol' type) and compression ignition engines ('diesel' type)



### Share of spark-ignition cars (ICE and HEV) stock that run on LPG



#### **Scenarios**

- We assumed that the current split of petrol/diesel engines for new cars (50/50) is maintained going forward
- In line with the Fuels Roadmap, diesel will be B7 (EN590) with an increasing amount of drop-in renewable diesel – i.e. no compatibility issue to be considered for the distribution infrastructure
- For petrol engines, we will evaluate the amount of:
  - Ethanol needed if the E10 becomes the main grade by 2020 and E20 by 2032
  - LPG needed for a case where the rate of conversion (or sales if OEM supply is put in place) accelerates to reach 5% of the petrol car stock (equivalent to c. 40,000 conversions per year until 2030)
- All new vans are assumed to run on diesel

## Buses have many powertrain options but overall small fuel use so we used only one scenario, where all technologies see high sales



Source: Element Energy, DfT Statistics Table VEH0601, LowCVP Low Carbon Emission Bus Market Monitoring (Jan 2015), CCC, 4<sup>th</sup> Carbon budget, 2013 1 - Alternative Powertrain for Urban buses study (2012)

#### 54

elementenergy

Fuel uptake

## For Heavy Goods Vehicles, we tested a high uptake of both electric (battery and fuel cell) and gas trucks





#### Scenario

- We to modelled a High Alternative Fuel Uptake case where both pure electric and gas trucks reach a significant sales levels in their respective markets (light and heavy trucks)
- FCEVs also capture a large share of the market, as per the CCC's vision of the role of hydrogen

#### **UK low emission trucks - estimates**





Source: Element Energy, DfT Statistics, *Birmingham City Blueprint for low carbon fuels refuelling infrastructure*, EE for Birmingham City Council (2015), Low Emission HGV Task Force (2014), HMRC (2014), CCC, 4<sup>th</sup> Carbon budget, 2013

# Non-Road Mobile Machinery typically refuels in private depots/premises but the case of LPG, liquid air and hydrogen were considered

UK NRMM fleet for industry, construction and agriculture, c. 700,000 units in 2014:



#### Scenario

- We to considered (qualitatively, considering the lack of disaggregated data on fuel use) the infrastructure impacts of:
  - A transition to Liquid Air for HGV refrigeration units
  - An increase in LPG, battery and hydrogen use for forklifts

## Beyond the blending of renewable drop-in diesel in diesel, options for cleaner fuels are:

- (Limited options, possibly (bio)methane or high blend biodiesel)
- (Could transition to LPG, Battery and Fuel Cell packs for some uses)
- (LPG, limited alternative fuel options)
- LPG, could transition to Liquid Air
- Use of LPG (already used by c. 30% of forklifts ) and batteries could increase, could transition to hydrogen

Other off-roads: Telescopic Handlers, Backhoe Loaders, Excavators, Cranes, Bulldozers, Compressors etc.

Source: Element Energy analysis based, on DfT statistics requested in Jan 2015 and *Non-Road Mobile Machinery Usage, Life and Correction Factors* AEA for Dt (2004), industry input for LPG use in forklift

## Appendix – There are broadly two types of refuelling infrastructure for liquid fuels in the UK

#### **Refuelling at private depots: c.25% fuel sales**

- Large fleet operators including public transport operators, hauliers, logistics companies, forklift operators tend to operate designated refuelling depots suited to their 'return to base' operations
- Such facilities tend to be private and exclusively service a single vehicle type
- Most buses and heavy good vehicles refuel in depots – share of diesel supplied through depot:
  - 90% for buses, 40% for coaches
  - 80% articulated trucks, 45% rigid trucks



#### **Refuelling at public forecourts: c.75% fuel sales**

- Generally, public vehicle refuelling (passenger cars, vans, motorbikes, scooters) is facilitated by one of the UK's c.8,600 forecourts
- Refuelling forecourts are publicly accessible and are generally owned and operated by large oil companies (e.g. Shell, BP, Esso, etc.), independent retailers and supermarket chains



### **Appendix – National Grid "Future energy scenarios"**

## National Grid has developed four scenarios for future electricity generation and gas supply sources to 2050

Low Carbon Life (LCL) is a Gone Green (GG) is a world of È world of high affordability and low high affordability and high sustainability. More money is available sustainability. The economy is growing, Aπordabiinty More money available due to higher economic growth and with strong policy and regulation and society has more disposable income. new environmental targets, all of which There is short term volatility regarding are met on time. Sustainability is not energy policy and no additional targets restrained by financial limitations as are introduced. Government policy is more money is available at both an focused on the long term with investment level for energy consensus around decarbonisation, infrastructure and at a domestic level which is delivered through purchasing via disposable income. power and macro policy. No Progression (NP) is a world Slow Progression (SP) is a of low affordability and low sustainability. world of low affordability and high sustainability. Less money is available There is slow economic recovery in this compared to Gone Green, but with scenario, meaning less money is Affordability Less money available available at both a government and similar strong focus on policy and regulation and new targets. Economic consumer level. There is less emphasis on policy and regulation which remains recovery is slower, resulting in some the same as today, and no new targets uncertainty, and financial constraints are introduced. Financial pressures lead to difficult political decisions. result in political volatility, and Although there is political will and government policy that is focused on market intervention, slower economic E short term affordability measures. recovery delays delivery against environmental targets.

> Sustainability Less emphasis



### **Appendix – TEN-T Core Network**

